1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINE R. FRIAR Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 228421 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6472 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117	
4		
5		
6		
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11		
12.	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2019-061706
13	BRUCE MARTIN HENSEL, M.D. c/o The Management Group	ACCUSATION
14	8383 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 400 Beverly Hills, CA 90211	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
16	No. G 42090,	
17	Respondent	
18		
19	PARTIES	
20	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity	
21	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs	
22	(Board).	,
23	2. On or about June 24, 1980, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
24	Number G 42090 to Bruce Martin Hensel, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's	
25	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
26	expire on May 31, 2022, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28	///	
	1	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- 6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, subdivision (a), states:

For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license pursuant to Section 141 or Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes, professional misconduct, or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of state or federal law governing the applicant's or licensee's professional practice.

COST RECOVERY

- 7. Section 125.3 of the Code states:
 - (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Respondent is board-certified in internal medical and emergency medicine. During the relevant time period, he was in private practice in Beverly Hills, California.
- 9. Respondent was the chief medical correspondent at KNBC for nearly thirty (30) years. Respondent regularly appeared on the evening news in his segment "Ask Dr. Bruce." Respondent won numerous Emmy Awards in connection with his television work.
- 10. Commencing in February 2019, Respondent began sending private messages to a 9-year old girl, the Victim, on a phone application called WeChat. Prior to that time, Respondent had been communicating with the Victim and her parents regarding casting opportunities for the Victim.
- 11. On February 19, 2019, Respondent sent the Victim three private messages, identifying himself by name, and asking the Victim to call him. The following day, the Victim added Respondent to her WeChat contacts.
- 12. On February 23, 2019, Respondent wrote the Victim, "Let's have a secret conversation about acting...You will be a star."
- 13. On April 4, 2019, Respondent sent the Victim a private message stating, "I'm sorry. I wanted to give you an acting role honey. Send me some good pictures for the producers." In response, on April 12, 2019, the Victim sent Respondent several photographs of herself.
- 14. In response to the photographs the Victim sent, on April 14, 2019, Respondent wrote the Victim, "Hi honey unfortunately it is or not old or innocent sexy enough. Usually on 12 year olds can play that, but don't worry in a two years u will be perfect still have that room now and don't worry just between you and me."
- 15. On April 19, 2019, the Victim responded that the pictures were from her Wushu competition.² She is fully clothed in all of the photographs.

¹ The identity of the minor victim at-issue in this charging document is withheld herein to address privacy concerns. The minor's identity is known to Respondent and will be further disclosed during discovery.

² Wushu is a martial art similar to Kungfu. See, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wushu (sport).

10

14

13

16

15

17 18

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

- 16. On May 8 and 9, 2019, Respondent replied to the Victim's photographs, "Not enough for teen though...Has to be sexy...Ok?...Decide."
- 17. After the Victim did not respond to Respondent for approximately two weeks, on May 30, 2019, Respondent messaged her again, "Do u message?" When the Victim again did not respond, Respondent messaged her again approximately two and a half weeks later, "U guys home?"
- 18. On July 17, 2019, Respondent messaged the Victim, "Hey so sorry you're not working on my movie you would I had a great party... But it was probably too old and sexy anyway."
- After he received no response from the Victim, on July 21, 2019, he messaged her again: "Why don't you write back? Scared?" The Victim replied that she was "really busy with ballet." A minute later, Respondent wrote her, "Great. I would love to put you in the movie. You can come see it. But your mom might think it's too sexy." The Victim responded, "Oh ok." Respondent then replied, "What do you think. I don't want her to know I asked but what do you think? It's okay to be honest." The Victim replied, "I say yes." Respondent then messaged her, "So you think you can do it. Know[ing] a boy and being sexy. Not big sex. Just soft sexy. I don't want to upset your mother but I know you and trust you and like you. I think you are special. I know you think that about our friendship... Tell me hi neatly what you think. It is saf[e]. Can be secret." The Victim responded, "Ok I'll talk to you later I'm going to the beach." Respondent then quickly messaged her to send him pictures from the beach that he could show to the "director." He also promised her a Screen Actors Guild card. Respondent messaged her, "You are very special and your mother trusts me and I have always been good special friends and you feel safe with me so I will protect you and get you something they could maybe make you a star if you are willing to take some risks." He instructs the Victim to write him that night when she gets home because "we start shooting the movie tomorrow." That evening, Respondent continued to send the Victim message after message about the movie scheduled to shoot the next day and the famous actors that would be in it. Between 5:03 p.m. and 9:16 p.m. on July 21, 2019, Respondent sent the Victim twenty (20) messages in a row without receiving any reply.

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

///

- 20. The next day, on July 22, 2019, Respondent continued to text the Victim. He wrote her, "You mom take too long." The Victim responded, apologizing that she was at ballet. He replied that he wanted to give her a role in the movie.
- 21. Respondent continued message the Victim, making promises of a role in a movie and then blaming her and her mother for not responding quickly enough and concluding that the role might have been "too sexy" for her anyway.
- When on August 4, 2019, the Victim responded, "Yeah I guess I wouldn't be sexy enough anyways," Respondent wrote her, "Yes you are. It's not really sexy just flirting. But maybe dangerous. But getting into the acting union is difficult and this could have done it for you." When the Victim responded that she would tell her mother to message Respondent, he wrote the Victim that he does not want her mother to "be upset about the sexy part" and that it is a "good idea just keep between us." He then asked her to send him photographs of herself to "show the producers."
- 23. On August 4, 2019, the Victim sent Respondent several photographs of herself. In the photos, she is clothed, outdoors and/or with other people. Respondent replied, "They would have to be sexy and private only if you are okay with it." The Victim wrote him, "I don't really have any sexy stuff though."
- Respondent then suggested she take "selfie's" and send them to him. He instructed her not to be uncomfortable, that they are good friends and to keep it a secret.
- The Victim then asked Respondent how to take the selfies and he wrote her, "it would have to be in bedroom or underwear or less." The Victim responded, "Underwear?!?!"
- 26. The Victim then messaged Respondent, "Hold on let me get naked," to which he immediately responded, "Ok. Great But private. Just between me and you... If you are going to get naked for this maybe we should erase the notes here and do it in email or we can start here up to you."
- 27. The Victim then asked Respondent, "Full body or just my head." Respondent instructed her, "Full," and again promised to keep the photographs "private."

24

25

26

27

28

28. The Victim struggled to take a full body selfie and asked Respondent for help. He		
wrote her to use a "timer or mirror." The Victim did not know how to use a "timer" and		
Respondent instructed her on how to use the app: "Well some phones let you time the picture and		
you put the phone on the desk or near the sink and take a picture in 10 seconds or try the		
bathroom Or just lower body."		

- 29. The Victim sent him several photographs. In response, Respondent wrote her she "would have to go a little further if you're comfortable."
- 30. On or about August 4, 2019, the Victim sent Respondent several nude photos of herself.
- 31. That same night, the Victim's step-father discovered the messages and photographs exchanged between Respondent and the Victim.
- 32. The Victim informed Respondent that her step-father went through her phone.
 Respondent instructed her to "delete all messages please."
- 33. The next day the Victim's step-father contacted the police and provided them with the messages and photographs exchanged between Respondent and his step-daughter.
 - 34. The police were able to trace the messages to an account registered to Respondent.
- 35. On or about November 13, 2019, a Felony Complaint was filed against Respondent in *The People of the State of California v. Bruce Martin Hensel*, Case No. BA482562, Los Angeles Superior Court. The Felony Complaint alleges that on or about August 4, 2019, Respondent, in violation of Penal Code section 288.3(a), unlawfully contacted and communicated with a minor with the intent to commit a sexual offense involving that minor.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest and Corrupt Acts)

- 36. Respondent Bruce Martin Hensel, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (e), in that he committed dishonest and corrupt acts substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon in his conduct with the Victim. The circumstances are as follows:
 - 37. Paragraphs 8 through 35 herein are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

///

///

- 38. More specifically, Respondent used his position of power and influence, gained as a result of his status as a physician television personality, specifically as the chief medical consultant for KNBC and in appearances on "Ask Dr. Bruce," to lure the Victim's family into allowing him access to their 9-year-old daughter. Under the guise of helping the Victim in her acting career, Respondent used his position of influence to sexually exploit her. Respondent methodically groomed her for months, slowly escalating his requests for "sexy" photographs of her. Ultimately, on August 4, 2019, Respondent told the Victim that he wanted her to send him photographs of herself in the "bedroom or underwear or less." Once the Victim agreed to undress, Respondent walked her through how to take nude photographs of herself, suggesting she use a timer or mirror. Throughout their entire exchange, Respondent repeatedly sought assurances that she was keeping their communications a secret from her parents, while assuring her that he is her "good friend" and that her "mother trusts [him]."
- 39. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 37 and 38, inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute dishonest and corrupt acts substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon pursuant to Code section 2234, subdivision (e). As such, cause for discipline exists.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

- 40. Respondent Bruce Martin Hensel, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234 in that he committed unprofessional conduct in his conduct with Victim 1. The circumstances are as follows:
- 41. Paragraphs 8 through 35 and 38 herein are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 42. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraph 41, inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 2234. As such, cause for discipline exists.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

43. Complainant further alleges that on July 20, 2020, in *The People of the State of California v. Bruce Martin Hensel*, Case No. BA482562, Los Angeles Superior Court, Respondent's medical license was restricted pursuant to a Court Order issued under Penal Code, section 23. Specifically, as a condition of bail and while the criminal case against him is pending, Respondent shall not "practice medicine on minors," "opine about pediatric health," and "be in [the] presence of minors without written guardian approval." At the time of the filing of this Accusation, that Court Order remains in place.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 42090, issued to Respondent Bruce Martin Hensel, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Bruce Martin Hensel, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Respondent Bruce Martin Hensel, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: MAR 2 4 2022

WILLIAM PRASIF
Executive Director

Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

64965140.docx